TO: Federal District Attorney, 204, 8 +11 ED · 2008 JAN 23 PA 3 1 18 At this time my patience is the Now Hermons His away anger and for estudious pages earls at my conscience continually. And though I am not being physically abused, the delig thought of it all really fucks with my head Yes, I could physically survive consuming a weat while sitting in a vat of pure shit up to my neck. It doesn't make it right. I't's the knowledge that my keepers are fucking me and everyone else over and getting away with it blase! You can obviosly tell it's effect, by the mental state in which this letter Is being delivered to you. Usually I begin my mounings in prayer but today when I opened my eyes all I could think of was all the time it took to compile all this shit and all the Events | that ick up to it. (That continue today) Cet this straight. A complete stranger at a soup kitchen IN oceal side gave me some pills with the advice they'll cure what ails you". I didn't even know what they were. Oxi some crap it what the guy told me. Anyway, I forget Ieven had the damn things so when I get cought with then the house later of a police station Ho Tess how come # in flat broke? As it turns out the pills are they're worth 15 street ecc. I had about 10 of them. My popul. Because of simple iquivance I am serving 32 worths tot 80% while my keepers fuck me over all dayevery clay. It is the moutal comparison that eats at me. And they're fusing the law as their tool to get every with it.

Know what? Fuck the law It doesn't seem to work. I'm try my to stop my oppression. I'm the bad guy raft? I don't even know if my mail it going out. It's one big (Some budy Do Something) mind fuct.

"The California Department of Corrections"  Over Crowding: 20f 18
The California Department of Corrections
Over Crowding 1 2 of 18
Today is January 7, 2008 and I am writing this
letter from within the contines of Domouch State
Prison (2) yard facility Blag 10 Cell-148. My Name
is Philip Louis Machado F-64316.
As per. Reception Memorandum (enclosed) you will
See that I am stuck here on a reception yard
2 nduring inhumane conditions because there is no-where
: se to put me due to overcrowding.
Past and present administrators all had a
choice to stop accepting prisoners into their custody beyond the 100,000 limit. But at \$40,00000 a year of
Deyond the 100,000 limit. But at 40,000 a year of
Taxpaver money too the care of each prisoner X
72,000 extra prisoners? Any fool can see the
results. Billions of dollars changing hands cont-
inually.
Over crowding has been going on for the past 10
years. The C.B.C. chose to make over crowding
its problem and for all the money involved, how could
they ilesist? Now, for whatever reason the Federal
of human beings for profit will finally end.
of human beings for profit will timely and.
Conterning the contents (andosed) of sivil and
Criminal violations listed here in most all violations
can be proved without doubt by the Departments
own documentation and in one case because of its
lack of documentation. For verificatetion I'm succ
there will always be many rosters full of prisoners  Names to choose from during these time periods of  violations we all suffered due to overcrowded
Names to choose from during these time periods of
viole tiding me all suffered while to enterchanded

		2 30f 18
There	is no doubt that wro	hardspunishment was
	à vuder conditions of	
Wethe	aggravating condition	s apply will be at
the d	scretion of the presid	ing Justice
Hat	e Crimes (Something +	o think about.)
`415	o refer to C.P.4 147	
Howev	er, I do believe that p	risoners can be singled
		treatment as easily as
		I, who else does the C.D.C.
	out to abuse except its	
Twe	Il counts "intent" will be	established from indist -
5 LE MCE	to disregard and even	contempt. After all,
they b	roke the law, they have a	orights. Anyway
indiffe	reuse being the least r	arm settered is till a
	han lawful or honords	le action.
	None o	t these is good)
Also	Keep in mind that the	violations suffered were
Not 1	soluted incidents of	chance do to bureacracy
They w	ere meted out (in action	or inaction) with false
	vce that said violation	
be opp	sed by a mere prison	er. They were enforced
Ky ide	a what authority.) They	went on for MONTHS"
* *** **		- Commence and the state of the
I u ¢	103ing I am afraid th	at The California
Depart	Ment of Corrections in	ts greed, arrogance,
and s	emingly unopposable anti	vority deserves No
lenien	cy. It certainly has give	en hone. It has taken
tar too	much, from way to ma	y for far lools ug.
Mypa	in and frustration ar	not only for my soll
but H	c countless thous and	who are forced to
accept	similar abuses every	single day = imply

(Reception Memorganaum)

Dec. 20, 2001

40f18

After several months of being stranded here on the reception at Donovan State Prison without any television and only the repetative plots of paperback Houels to pass the time I began to give deeper thought to my <u>situation</u>. It occurred to me that even though I had done no wrong I was enduring pretty much the same punishment as those who are locked away in the hole for Serious MISCONDUCT. where we are only allowed 3 showers (maximum) per week, regular prison yards recieve showers every day, and where we are allowed only to hours of yard (per week), regular prison yards recieve more than 12 hours of yard Der day) Worship services and Law Library visitations are routinely compromised. Canteen purchases are limited and phone calls to attorneys and loved ones are non-existant. And of course, as provided on regular prison yards
there is absolutely NO chance for positive rehabilitation
whatsoever. NO P.T. A., (On the job training which provides job skills and offers some payor incentive for prisoners to better themselves. No education towards a simple G. E.D., and No vocational classes to develop trade skills, and finally NO drug or alcohol intervention classes. And even though many prisoners fail in society and return to prison the opportunity for redemption (to save even some of them.) MUST BE OFFERED! So on November 1st, 2007 I finally wrote to my counselor expressing some of my stag yent and unproductive situation to which he reptied on December 3th, 2007 as follows, QUOTE .... You have been endorsed to A.S.P. II, (Aveno) State Prison, Level II) since 9-25-07. Due to extreme Overcrouding your transfer has been delayed. We request a full bus seat every week from Sacramento. Until we get notice from Sacramento your transfer will be delayed.

(Signed Mr. Harlow C.C.II) on 12-3-07 (Please See Attached Document) -

Document 5 Filed 01/23/2008

Page 5 of 19

Case 3:07-cv-02413-JLS-AJB

Case 3:07-cy-02413-JLS-AJB Document 5 FII Filed 01/23/2008 Page 6 of 19 6 of 18 The following pages deal with violations inflicted by the Colifornia Department of Corrections under the direction of Robert Tilton, Director of the C.D.C. These violations take place in opposition to the Departments own rules and regulation quide, Title 15, the California Penal Code, and the United States Constitution. These violations began on, Sept. 7, 2007 and dontinue to date. Per. C.D.C. Title 15 3004. (a) I/m's have the right to be treated respectfully, impartially, and fairly by all employees. (C) Free from discrimination. Authority cited. Sec. 5038 PC. Ref. Sec. 5054 P.C. Per. C. A.C. 422.7 (9) Aggravating Factors To Punishment No person, whether or not acting under color of law, shall by force, or threat of force, willfully, injure, intimidate, interfere with, oppress or threaten any other person in the free exercise of enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him or her by the Constitution or laws of this state or by the constitution or laws of The United States in whole or in part because of One or more of the actual or percieved characteristics of the victim listed in subdivision (a) of section 422.55 P.C. Per. C.P.C. 422.55 (9) (7) 1 thrub "Hate Crime Aggravating circumstances are based on the abusers willful lintent to commit offenses against another person because of actual or percieved characteristics of the Victim. This is called discrimination! Discrimination is a wrongful act carried out against another person or persons with indifference, disregard, contempt or even malicions lintent because of (NexT Page) ->

Document 5

Filed 01/23/2008

Page 7 of 19

Case 3:07-cv-02413-JLS-AJB

Case 3:07-0	cv-02413-JLS-AJB	Document 5	Filed 01/23/2008	Page 8 of 19
Remem	Wer: These Vis	Mations Beain		Dono
5	ept. 7, 2007	, 2	oog	Pg.80+18
_	the second of the second of the second of the second of		(2 yard Donor	ian)
	He 15 3120(		11	N
Authority	Cited: sec: 505	A P.C. Refised	umate library in +1: 2600, 2601, 5045	Penal Code
	brary on ward	3) DONOUGH III	(Mental Well house)	(NO T.V.) +hose
( Conel	& Unusual tree	tment ) (1	S. Constitution	(No Nembabell)
Po = T	He 15 3160(c	(Freedom of infor	(HAIDH) (MILLERS)	call wat shaced
			Vo Copy Machine (2)	is al Name
Authori	y cited; sec: 50	58 P.C. Sec.	2601/2024 Jen	code
- Cobiet C	3 rested 19-1-0.	7 Copies recie	ived 12-14-07 (7	day woit)
CODIES T	onested 12-31-0;	Copies recieu	COnstitution (F	day wait)
( // cce	D. TO COURTS )	(4.5	· Constitution (F	Privacy Violation)
Per Tis	le 15 3060.			
I ustit	Hions will provi	de the means	for all immates +	o keep
the msel	hes and their li	ving Quarters	for all immates to clean and to pro	eclice good
The	autice inner ho	w to lun	let is consend.	
20905	t: We have N	EVER Once.	sech direndising	tecto at
3crup	bed trapper el	over or a mo	p. (Mental Well be	sing)
(deploy	able unsanita.	y conditions	)	
	hel + Muusual	treatment)	U.S. Condition	·tion)
Per Ti	He 15 3000.			and the second s
Defi	es disciplinary	detention as	a temporary how	sing status
Mhich	CONTINES SU G	CILIS MED TIME	to designated room	ms or cells
Violatio	ne Con ped beriods	ot time as pi	unishment for ser	iousrule
	,	sticel to ou	rishment cells	and I
(GW)	My allowed 5	- hours out	of my cell for	cck.
Sam	cis those bein	19 punished	of my cell for Thave done	No Corona
( T C660	um of sissoci	0+1017) (((	5 Constituti	OH)
			NexT	Port
			menter de la companya	

Case 3:07-cv-	02413-JLS-AJB	Document 5	Filed 01/23/2008	Page 11 of 19
				D 11£10
0 - 1				B. 110f18
+h-1 7	Today Janus	ary 7,2008 1	t has become o	itticial !!
ha all	ns Moused I	the (x) yes	d gym at Dono	vall will
(2)	of division	all lie lie	eving their me mates housed:	als in the
7 0 140	10 of the	Scure (7)	Varal are How	H Clouds.
to rec	eve meals :	u their coll	s next to their	La Mote
	1	١.	es of the gymal	
			vility while the	
			dio are forced	
their	neals in the	same so	ace they AND	their
Cellm	ites defice	ate?		
			SCrimination.	
The 16	I NMates h	ouscolin the	gym are class	ified as
			st of the more	
'			with NO KNOWIN	type of
	misconduc			
- he	ARO INMete	s of blags.	nates. I perso	classified
-as-Pl	otective c	NETONY IN	mates. I perso	Hally have
Never D	CEN CONVICTE	dot co sec	percieve everyon	Many people
a vetad	to be c c	ON NICLES	spist or child	e in projective
doseri	inn of all t	he mistreety	neut that can	he heard
NOON			I Mar Can	be neapen
50	for this re	GSON 165	nuates get tre	ated like
human	beings whil	le the other	480 get treate	dlike dogs.
This	is Brofilin	y and disc	480 get treate	association.
Per. g	.P.C. 422.7	(a) Aggrava	ing Factors to P. Hate Crime	wishment
and 4	.P.C. 422,5	55 (9)(7)	Hate Crime	
	,			
	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	0	N. 2. M. I.	
	Jan. 7,200	8	Philip Machaly	
,			1	
f and commented absolute type if after a street to develop an attending				
	av h.			

## "Three Strike Memorandum"

•		ij	
	It all began back i	7	the mid 90's when a
	littlegich named Polly Clau	- II	
	bedroom while her parents	- 11	1
	Sexually abused and had he	- II	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A	357. magnum. The whole c	ا د	suntry was outraged.
arredo unto del Successión de Autoritativo de la contra unha coma contra un	Her Father, Mark Claus, w	4	th help campaigned across
	the state for a newlaw as	લુ	ainst" Violent Predators."
	The Law would later be		amed the" Three Strike Law,
	Anyone, with there violent	0	ftenses would recieve a
*****	mandatory sentence of 25 y	ا ۱-۴	ars to life in prison.
	However, the Pete Wilson	م	dministration in bed with
	the C.D.C. sawa chance to a	3	t their handson billions
	and billions of dollars right	۲.	then and for administrations
	tocome.	4	
	A recession was on, peop	9	le were losing their homes
	and leaving the state. The		
	the tax payers frustration	q	and angertoturn their
	wrath on lawbreakers, all		
	ministration proposed to	0	build seven new prisons
	ata cost of some 3450	7	illion dollars perprison
	to hold all the new Three St	t	rikers once the Three Strike
	Law was passed.	_	
	lo en sure justificati	<u> </u>	n for building the new
	prisonstheadministration	\	had to be certain that
	those prisons got full. So	2	when the Three Strikes
	haw finally went to the b	a	Mot the law was slightly
·	amended without the vot	6	rsknowledge. The voters
	voted with the belief the		
Note:	Prison Completion Dates & M Unacurate. Still, the truth r	n	monuts of cost may be
1,0,00	unacurate. Still, the truth r	٦٩	mains the same. 1076
			reconstruction of the contract

•	14 of 18
	candidates would require "three violent" crime
	convictions in order for the 25 to life sentence to
	apply when infact the ballot had been altered so
	that anyone with "two violent" offenses and any
	subsiquent felony no matter how petty would be eligit
***************************************	For the mandatory Life Sentence. Thus, Three Strikes
	and your out
	Later Polly Clause's Father Mark would ad mit
	ontelevision that indeed the ballot had been altered
	and the voters had been duped.
	However, the Three Strike Law had now been passed
•	and the voters were stuck with it not really considering
	the future consequences. But what the hell, little Polly
	Claus had been avenged.
	Looking back on this incident and considering the
	enormous cost of building the new prisons along with
	the cost of maintaining future prisoners the Wilson
	administration had to havetaken into consideration
	the future over crowding that would surely come.
	Through available statistics they could even venture
* *	aguess that overcrowding would reach extremes b
	the year 2007 or 2008. After all, these people were
	not fools.
	Butthat was not Petewilsons problem, afterall his ad
	ministration would now be handling all the monies to
	build those expensive new prisons and maintain allt
·	newThree Strikers. And though I'm no auditor, one ca
The Time officers of the Section Secti	only imagine where some of those billions really went
•	
	2046

With the finish of the Wilson administration and into the Davis administration the tenthose seven new prisons were quickly filled.  The Three Strike law has corrupted the courts.  Judges and D.A.'s were powerless to stop the abuse of life sentence Sforpatty crimes. Eventually, the homero decision was implemented giving courts descration over who should and should not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy D.A.'s and get their bosses re-elected the abuse still continued. The D.A.'s now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threetening, defendants (quilty ornst) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead quilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadquilty now for a couple of years as opposed to being taken tetrial and convicted because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less for mate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with sheplifting a petty theft), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	Case 3:07-cv	-02413-JLS-AJB Document 5	Filed 01/23/2008 Page 15 of 19
With the finish of the Wilson administration and into the Davis administration those seven new prisons were quickly filled.  The Three Strike law had corrupted the courts.  Judges and D.A.'s were powerless to stop the abuse of life sentence Sfor petty crimes. Eventually, the Remerce decision was implemented giving courts descretion over who should and should not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy D.A.'s and get a their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D.A.'s now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty new for a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con vieted because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whotever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting C petty the fird him			15°T10
into the Davis administration those seven new prisons were quickly filled.  The Three Strike law had corrupted the courts.  Judges and D. A.'s were powerless to stop the abuse of life sentences for patty crimes. Eventually, the Romero decision was implemented giving courts descretion over who should and a hould not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy D. A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D. A.'s now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or net) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty newfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty thest, seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			13 04 18
into the Davis administration those seven new prisons were quickly filled.  The Three Strike law had corrupted the courts.  Judges and D. A.'s were powerless to stop the abuse of life sentences for patty crimes. Eventually, the Romero decision was implemented giving courts descretion over who should and a hould not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy D. A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D. A.'s now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or net) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty newfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty thest, seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			
into the Davis administration those seven new prisons were quickly filled.  The Three Strike law had sorrupted the courts.  Judges and D. A.'s were powerlass to stop the abuse of life sentences for patty crimes. Eventually, the Romero decision was implemented giving courts descretion over who should and a hould not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy D. A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D. A.'s now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty newfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course I'll take the deal where do I sign? However, some were less for mate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty theft), see mingly a miner affense to the jury, they may find him	And the control of th	With the finish of the Wil	and administration and
werequicklyfilled.  The Three Strike law had corrupted the courts.  Judges and D.A.'s were powerlass to stop the abuse of life sentences for patty crimes. Eventually, the Romero decision was implemented giving courts descretion over who should and a hould not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy DA's and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The DA's now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendants would gladly pleadguilty newfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course I'll take the deal where do I sign? However, some were less for unate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the detendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is Charged with shop lifting petty theft), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him		into the Davis administrat	ion those seven new poisons
The Three Strike law had corrupted the courts.  Judges and D.A.'s were powerless to stop the abuse of life sentence storpetty crimes. Eventually, the homero decision was implemented giving courts descretion over who should and should not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the correers of Deputy D.A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D.A.'s nowfound that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty newfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the detendant is charged with shop lifting petty they may find him			1
Judges and D.A.'s were powerloss to stop the abuse of life sentence storpetty crimes. Eventually, the Remero decision was implemented giving courts descretion over who should and a hould not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy D.A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D.A.'s now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not busho were eligible for a Third Strike with lite in prison if they did not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly plead guilty newfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less for mante still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the detendant is charged with shop lifting petty they may find him			corrupted the courts.
of life sentence sforpetty crimes. Eventually, the Remero decision was implemented giving courts descration over who should and a hould not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the coreers of Deputy DA's and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The DA's newfound that they could get ea sier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with lite in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty now for a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con visted because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty thest), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	(17-30-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-		
Remero decision was implemented giving courts  descration over who should and should not be struck  out. But since it's convictions that make the coreers  of Deputy D.A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D.A.'s nowfound that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with lite in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty now for a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con visted because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less for mate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty thest), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			
descretion over who should and should not be struck out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy DA's and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The DA's now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty now for a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate stilly et. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty theft), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him		Romero decision was imple	mented giving courts
out. But since it's convictions that make the careers of Deputy D.A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D.A.'s now found that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (quilty or net) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did" not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty newfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting (petty their), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him		descretion over who should	and should not be struck
of Deputy D.A.'s and get's their bosses re-elected the abuses still continued. The D.A.'s nowfound that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty nowfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty their), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him		out. But since it's convicti	ons that make the careers
abuses still continued. The D.A's nowfound that they could get easier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "plea bargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty nowfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? Itowever, some were less fortunate stilly et. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty theft), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	10.00.000		
could get ea sier convictions simply by threatening defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty now for a couple of years as opposed to being taken to trial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting (petty the fit), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	a manufact de la materia destante, ameniga apparente separe	abuses still continued. Th	e D. A.'s now found that they
defendants (guilty or not) who were eligible for a Third Strike with life in prison if they did "not take the deall" to plead guilty for lesser time (right now) through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly plead guilty now for a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting (petty the ft), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	14 B. Flammed 1-15 Addition from other State Sta	could get easier conviction	as simply by threatening
the deall "to plead quilty for lesser time (right now)  through "pleadargaining". Of course the defendant  would gladly pleadguilty nowfor a couple of years  as opposed to being taken totrial and con vieted  because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign?  However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever  leasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty  offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and  still are decieved as they are not allowed to know  that the defendant on trial before them is facing  life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is  charged with shop lifting petty thest), seemingly a  minor offense to the jury, they may find him	PRESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF	defendants (quilty or net)	sho were eligible for a
through "pleabargaining". Of course the defendant would gladly pleadguilty nowfor a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course I'll take the deal. Where do I sign?  However, some were less fortunate still yet. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty theft) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him		Third Strike with life in pi	ison if they did "not take
would gladly pleadquilty now for a couple of years as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life. Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign? However, some were less fortunate stilly et. Forwhatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shoplifting petty the ft) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	- PT (1777) 1777 1777 1777 4 2 - 4 2 - 4 2 - 18 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	the deall" to plead guilty	For lessertime (right now)
as opposed to being taken totrial and con victed because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign?  However, some were less fortunate stilly et. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shoplifting petty theft) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	***************************************	through "pleabargaining".	Of course the defendant
because of prior offenses and then sentenced to life.  Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign?  However, some were less fortunate stilly et. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shoplifting (petty theit) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	-	would gladly pleadguilty n	wfor a couple of years
Of course, I'll take the deal. Where do I sign?  However, some were less fortunate stilly et. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were, and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting (petty the ft) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	The proof of the second street was		
However, some were less fortunate stilly et. For whatever reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting petty the ft) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			
reasons many defendants wound up intrial for petty offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing lifein prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shop lifting (petty their) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	Bert et etter free een bestelle en een een een een een een een een	Ot course, I'll take the	leal. Where do I sign.
offenses facing their third strike. Jury's were and still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shoplifting (petty the ft) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him	Plates de la compensación de la compensación por la compensación de la	However some were less for	tunate still yet. For whatever
still are decieved as they are not allowed to know that the defendant on trial before them is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Say the defendant is charged with shoplifting (petty the ft) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			
that the defendant on trial beforethem is facing life in prison if convicted. So, Saythe defendant is Charged with shoplifting (pettytheft), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			
lifein prison if convicted. So, Saythe defendant is charged with shoplifting (pettytheft), seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			
charged with shop lifting (pettythest) seemingly a minor offense to the jury, they may find him			
minor offense to the jury, they may find him		litein prison if convicted	· Do, Saythe defendant is
		charged with shoplifting	pettythest) seemingly a
3046		minor oftense lothe jur	y, They may tind him
3046			
			30+6

Case 3:07-cv	-02413-JLS-AJB	Document 5	Filed 01/23/2008	Page 16 of 19
				16 of 18
	guilty withou	at much tho	ight onlytolate	erfindout
	that they have	zjust unkno	wingly sentence	damanto
			ing stupid-Int	
The street of the telephone distribution of the street of		re de cieved	and used liket	his "every
*	time."			
***************************************	11	-	swhurt and cont	•
	of those jurior	s must feel	after finding ou	t they just
			te without even	
			hit forthe resto	
	It's deciet,	itsdeceptio	n, and it's wron	رج.
	Anyway th	e C.D.C. unio	ngrewstronger	. As was
The state of the s			the more prison	
	the more mone	ythey could	get to maintair	them. If
*	they squand	ered and de	pleted this year	s budget.
***************************************	1 1		money fornext	~ .
			afteryear. Th	
	andillegal wh	arehousing	of human bein	as for
	profit contin			3
*	li' 🕥 .		time the C.D.C. u	nionalso
	!!		here was no-one	
	them and tak	e overthe no	wenormous res	onsibility
	of running th	e states 37	prisons they co	uld (and did)
			arrying out suc	
	They continu	ally deman	ded more and r	nore money
	for them selve	sandusine	this leverage t	neygotit.
	So now, two	elveyears la	ter responsibilit	ry has finally
	fallen on the S	schwarzene	gger administri	ation.
	<b>!</b>			4046
			67.	•

				170818
		•		
				-
	Becausether	e is simply	no wherelest t	e put prisoner
	short of putti	ng them out s	side on the prisa	on yards.
			seem so surp	
	administration	ins saw it h	appening yet a	llowed the
			whywasthe	
			rgo when it b	
	simple, the n	nore prisone	ers we have the	moremoney
	we can get.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	California	as overcrow	dingian ot ak	pout agrievin
	father seeking	gjustice ag	ainst violent p	redators. It's
**************************************	about money a	and the wick	ed disregard	for human
	life togetit	It's about	a single state	e agency_
	holdingther	est of us h	iostagetoline	itsown /
·	pockets.			
	We are al	Luietimsh	ere. Either you	to gnonin
	their prisons	or your footi	ng the bill for	it.
	Back in th	20 cine 6	1995 I'm sur	e mr Pote
and the state of t			ight them selve	
	- I f .		the script on	r
			caused issti	
······································	California's	,	·	
	11	1	n old man. He	is no longer
	11	1	e has no powe	- ·
		1	e faded a way	
	II.	- ,	n of his ill got	`
Andrew and a construction of the construction	1 1		by now how w	•
			nt take it withyo	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
				5 of 6

Case 3:07-cv	-02413-JLS-AJB D	ocument 5	Filed 01/23/2008	Page 18 of 19
				180718
ala di dina di santa da di			rseful for wh	
	done or whether			
	defiant old foo	1 is anyon	res guess. But.	tothose
and order two property from the depth of the selection and strategies	who follow his p	ath bewo	re! Anyonew	ho recieves
The second ships of the second	benefit or profi	t from t	netragic dea	th of
the bit makes the sale among a springer dark of a large special plan	this little girl			
	heart will sur	elyansw	er in the end	
	God bless	us all.		
			i	
	12-27-07		Philip &. Mach	a la
				MOV
Note!	Richard Allen	Dovis kill	ed Polly Claus	-
#*****			:	
			-	
•				
				-
-				
B				
	•			60+6
		, !!	11	O TO

## PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO)

[C.C.P. §§ 446, 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. §1746]

I Philip L. Machadu, am a resident of the State of California and am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the above-entitled action. My address is listed below.

On Jan. 20, 2008, I served the following documents: C:tize ni Complaint. 18 Pg. Federal District Attorney

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with First Class postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail by delivering to prison officials for processing through the Institution's internal legal mail system at San Diego California, addressed as follows::

United States District Court Southern District of California Federal District Attorney 880 Front Street Sen Diego, CA. 92101-8900

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in the County of San Diego, California on Temperatoria 2008

ip L. Machado F-64316 VEN State Prison P.O. Box 799102 San Diego, CA 92179-900-2

Philip J. Mahl

Pursuant to the holding of the United States Supreme Court in Houston v. Lack 108 S. Ct. 2379, 487 U.S. 266, 101 L.Ed.2d 245 (1988) and FRAP, Rule 4 (c) inmate legal documents are deemed filed on the date they are delivered to prison staff for processing and mailing via the Institution's internal legal mail procedures.